

Evolutionary Game Theory

- EGT turns non-cooperative GT on its head
- Instead of assuming all players are fully rational, let us assume that they are automats. They are born (pre-programmed) to play a certain pure or mixed strategy, matched randomly with an opponent, and then reproduce and spread their programs (genes).
- We will first develop an intuition for the stability of such systems, by analyzing the dynamics of sample evolutionary system,
- We will then develop a test (refinement) of Nash equilibrium – an Evolutionary Stable Strategy

Replicator dynamics

- Stage 1: Animals are born behaviorally ‘pre-programmed’
- Stage 2: Each animal is matched with another in the cohort and interacts. The behavioral programs determine the payoff from interaction: reproductive capabilities of each animal
- Stage 3. Animals reproduce their children inherit the behavioral program
- The cycle repeats itself ad infinitum.
- The total population is usually assumed constant N (enough food for N animals), but what really matters are the fractions of the population that carry the specific replicator

Payoffs

- Time is discrete, τ is the length of life cycle
- There are 2 behavioral programs, and the reproductive capabilities are a product of the payoff (see payoff matrix) and the length of the cycle

	Soft (S)	Aggressive (A)
Soft (S)	$u+1, u+1$	$u+0, u+2$
Aggressive (A)	$u+2, u+0$	$u-1, u-1$

- $p(t) = p$ = fraction of pop. with A-replicator at the beginning of period t
- $N_A(t+\tau)$ = # of animals with A-replicator at the end of t
- $p(t+\tau) = N_A(t+\tau) / N(t+\tau)$ - fraction of pop. with A-replicator at the end of period t

Looking for stable states

$$\begin{aligned} N_A(t + \tau) &= Np\{1 + \tau[p(u - 1) + (1 - p)(u + 2)]\} = Np\{1 + \tau[-p + u + 2 - 2p]\} = \\ &= Np\{1 + \tau[u + 2 - 3p]\} = Np(1 + \tau y) \end{aligned}$$

$$N_S(t + \tau) = N(1 - p)\{1 + \tau[pu + (1 - p)(u + 1)]\} = N(1 - p)\{1 + \tau[u + 1 - p]\} = N(1 - p)(1 + \tau x)$$

$$p(t + \tau) = \frac{Np\{1 + \tau y\}}{Np\{1 + \tau y\} + N(1 - p)\{1 + \tau x\}}$$

We want to see how the fractions change with time, find the difference:

$$p(t + \tau) - p(t) = \frac{p(1 + \tau y) - p[p(1 + \tau y) + (1 - p)(1 + \tau x)]}{p(1 + \tau y) + (1 - p)(1 + \tau x)}$$

Still looking

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{p(t + \tau) - p(t)}{\tau} &= \frac{p(1 + \tau y) - p[p(1 + \tau y) + (1 - p)(1 + \tau x)]}{\tau[p(1 + \tau y) + (1 - p)(1 + \tau x)]} = \\
 &= \frac{p + p\tau y - p^2 - p^2\tau y - p - p\tau x + p^2 + p^2\tau x}{\tau[p(1 + \tau y) + (1 - p)(1 + \tau x)]} = \\
 &= \frac{p\tau y - p^2\tau y - p\tau x + p^2\tau x}{\tau[p(1 + \tau y) + (1 - p)(1 + \tau x)]} = \frac{p(y - py - x + px)}{[p(1 + \tau y) + (1 - p)(1 + \tau x)]}
 \end{aligned}$$

- The limit of the above as τ goes to 0 is the derivative of $p(t)$, it therefore describes the dynamics of the system:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p'(t) &= \frac{p(y - py - x + px)}{p + (1 - p)} = p(y - py - x + px) = p(1 - p)(y - x) = \\
 &= p(1 - p)(u + 2 - 3p - u - 1 + p) = p(1 - p)(1 - 2p)
 \end{aligned}$$

Stability

- There are 3 roots of this equation: 0, 1, $\frac{1}{2}$
- They correspond to NE of the stage game. Notice that if $1 > p > \frac{1}{2}$ then $p'(t) < 0$, but if $\frac{1}{2} > p > 0$, then $p'(t) > 0$.
(Graph $p(t)$ vs. t)
- If for example, the whole population consists of A types, the system will stay at $p(t) = 1$ forever
- However, if there is a mutation, the mutant S-type animal will get much greater payoff than the A-types, and will replicate faster.
- Same about $p(t)=0$. The ‘pure-strategy’ sets are therefore steady but locally unstable.
- The mixed strategy equilibrium is globally stable: if there is any type of mutation, the system will approach $p(t) = \frac{1}{2}$ in the long run.

Evolutionary Stable Strategies

- The replicator dynamics can be applied to any symmetric game
- A priori, we may not know what strategy the opponent will choose. But we can check which strategy is stable in the evolutionary sense
- Notice that only symmetric NE can be stable
- Take a symmetric NE. Notice that a mutation that is not a best response to the equilibrium strategy will die out.
- A **strategy b^* is evolutionary stable** if (b^*, b^*) is a Nash equilibrium of the (symmetric) game and the expected payoff $u(b, b) < u(b^*, b)$ for any strategy $b \neq b^*$ that is a best response to b^*

ESS

- In the above game, only a mixed strategy, where soft is played with prob. $\frac{1}{2}$ is evolutionary stable.
- In the Hawk-Dove game below, the strategy ‘Hawk’ is ESS.

	Dove	Hawk
Dove	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	0, 1
Hawk	1, 0	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}$

ESS

- In the game below, the NE is a mixed strategy $(1/3, 1/3, 1/3)$, but there is no ESS

		Player 2			
		L	M	R	
Player 1	L	$1/2, 1/2$	1, -1	-1, 1	
	M	-1, 1	$1/2, 1/2$	1, -1	
	R	1, -1	-1, 1	$1/2, 1/2$	

REVIEW

- Choice Under Uncertainty:
 - check if choices are consistent with the independence assumption
 - check if a lottery is 1st or 2nd order dominated by another
- Normal Form Games:
 - find solution by IEDS (including auctions)
 - find NE (including mixed-strategy)
 - state theorems for games with incomplete information
- Extensive Form Games:
 - construct a game tree, given the description of a game
 - translate a game tree into a normal form
 - find a SPNE
 - prove (disprove) that a pair of strategies is SPNE in a supergame
- Cooperative Game Theory:
 - find the Core of a coalitional game
 - find SV of a coalitional game